Approved For Release 2001/03/02 : CIA-RDP70-00058

elections last fail, and second, that General Walker pleaded the military equivalent of the fifth amendment (article 31 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice) when questioned about this. This article, like the fifth amendment, may be invoked when one believes his own testimony might "tend to incriminate" him.

For your information, the ACA Index is a voting guide published by one particular faction on the American political scene. It can lay no more claim to infallibility or correctness than the ADA Index. published by the opposite extreme of the political spectrum. For General Walker to urge his troops and their families to consult this guide before voting was to engage in overt political activity in clear violation of the spirit of the Hatch Act, which prohibits Government personnel from participating in politics other than voting.

I think the viewpoint of the ACA is shown by the way it regarded the voting record of President Kannedy when he was a Senator. On the issue of private ownership and against Government ownership Mr. Kennedy was rated zero out of a possible 100 percent. In a category, "for individual liberty and against coercion," he was rated only 11 percent. And he was given another zero on national defense.

The Army investigation brought out other points, as well. For example, the testimenty revealed that General Walker is a member of the John Birch Society, an of anization whose leader says former, President Eisenhower, John Poster Dulis, Allen Dulles, and other high officials of our Government have been Communist agents or dupes. Also, it was revealed that General Walker made public statements which were derogatory of other present and former officials of our Government. Such statements, of course, are wholly out of keeping as a military officer.

Attended any before he left office last states former. President Elsenhower aid in annationwide television address:

In the councils of government, we must guard as that the acquisition of unwarranted in the military industrial complex. The potential for the disastrous rise of misplaced policy exists and will parsist.

I believe Mr. Eisenhower's warning is pertinent to this situation. In the course M our history we have always maintained civilian control of our Govarnment by elected officials responsible to the electorate. I firmly believe that this must continue.

Everyone will agree, I think, on two expositions: Pirst, that military leadthave a right and duty to indoctrinate last troops in broad, basic principles of least the same history and government so will know why they are asked to serve their country and fight for it if necessary; and, second, that military leaders have absolutely no business taking to influence their troops in matter which are partisan or political. One has all ly look at some of the South Amedican and Asian nations to see that

068R090200120132-0 1- Lus 2 M.R. Uda X- Ag 3-1 X- Surin 1 MO (ABS)

CPYRGHT

CPYRGHT

General Walker Reprimand Deserved

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

HON. MORRIS K. UDALL

OF ARIZONA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Thursday, September 21, 1961

Mr. MORRIS K. UDALL. Mr. Speaker, the controversy over the admonithment of Maj. Gen, Edwin A. Walker rages unabated. Emotions are so strong that sometimes the facts of the case are obscured. Yesterday, a number of my colleagues discussed this case on the House floor. I thought a recent special report of mine might be of interest to the Members.

General Walker was commander of the 24th Infantry Division in West Germany last April, when charges were made that his troop education and indoctrination program was following translatern of the rightwing John Bird Society. He subsequently was relieved of his commant following an Army sestigation. Since then, charges by been made that General Walker was a zealous walker disciplined because he was a zealous walker Communist.

Considerable light now has been on this case. During the week's feature in the season of the season

Two facts stand out: First the eral Walker advised the troops families to consult the soreal democracy and liberty are missing when military leaders participate in elections or political decisions.

A manuficial military establishment is one of the most vital, indispensable ingredients of the kind of democracy which distinguishes the United States, Britain, and other nations of the free world.

This whole thing can be seen in true focus. I believe, if we suppose for a mo-ment that the situation had been reversed. Imagine that General Walker had called his troops together to "indoctrinate" them on Americanism. Suptry was in great danger of losing the cold, war to the Communists, and that we could strengthen our Nation for the future only if we had more Federal aid to education, more urban renewal to eliminate crime and poverty in the cities, larger aid for undeveloped countries, and so forth. These are views which have been supressed by President Kennedy, ex-President Eisenhower, and other mt Misenhower, and other Americans whose sincerity and patriotism cannot be questioned. Had this been the case, I think you would have joined me in expressing outrage at such military interference in these political questions. Yet, if what General Walker did is right. I have mentioned could pipperly "indoctrinate" his troops along those lines. On the basis of the facts propented I think there san be no doubt that the reprimend given General Walter was was